Critical Reflections

Previous Books:

Exposed to Winds [Selected poems]

Construction Delay Claims [Performance measurements]

Anecdotes of Would-be Experts [Business experiences]

> Thoughts in a Maze [Various mysteries]

Trials and Errors [Life experiences]

Characters [A tribute to past friends]

> Oddities [False assumptions]

Connections [Human beliefs & behaviors]

Conclusions Volumes I & II [Reaching conclusions – right or wrong]

> *My Best Dog Days* [Autobiographical sketches]

Investment Fundamentals Our Support Systems About My Books Human Traits & Follies Honesty's Travesty

Critical Reflections



Specfab Industries Ltd.

Edmonton, Alberta

2016

Library and Archives Canada Cataloguing in Publication

Thormann, Arthur O. R. (Arthur Otto Rudolf), 1934-, author Critical reflections / by Arthur O.R. Thormann.

ISBN 978-0-9916849-6-0 (paperback)

1. Love. 2. Hate. I. Title.

BF575.T53 2016 152.4'1 C2016-905231-1

Copyright © Arthur O.R. Thormann, 2016

| Publisher: | Specfab Industries Ltd. | |
|------------|---------------------------|--|
| | 13559 - 123A Avenue | |
| | Edmonton, Alberta, Canada | |
| | T5L 2Z1 | |
| | Telephone: 780-454-6396 | |

| Printer: | PageMaster Publication Services Inc. |
|----------|--------------------------------------|
| | 11340 - 120 Street |
| | Edmonton, Alberta, Canada |
| | T5G 0W5 |
| | Telephone: 780-425-9303 |

| Cover Designs: | Front: Figure of a reflecting naked woman |
|----------------|---|
| | Back: Symbol and text by author |

All rights reserved. Except for brief quotes, any use or reproduction requires the written consent of the publisher or the author.

How improbable is it to love thy enemy? Improbable enough that most of us won't even try, thus ending in hate!



 \mathbf{A}

1 dedicate this book to my friend James D. Lampard

My gratitude goes to my daughter Nancy, and to my friend Pam Sigvaldason, for their valued advice. All mistakes remaining are entirely mine.

Preface

I renamed my first version of *Conclusions Volume III* to *Human Traits & Follies*, and I renamed the second version to *Critical Reflections*. These two titles better define the contents of the books. However, I am still planning to write a third volume of *Conclusions*.

The notion of love kept recurring to me as a worthwhile topic. I was obsessed by the idea that love is everywhere, even where hate exists, because people who hate simply *love* to hate. Therefore, I decided to write about love and hate relationships. Can you think of a better topic for the main reason of our existence? Without love, we may as well not exist, because we would be no better off than a plant in the field, although plant lovers claim that plants also flourish more with love from them.

I have previously covered the subject *love* against hate in my book *Thoughts in a Maze*, so I won't repeat myself. Suppose we take the Bible instruction, "Thou shalt love thy neighbor as thyself" (Lev 19:18); what, exactly, does it mean? Some folks may not love themselves at all, in which case the instruction to love their neighbors as themselves may not mean much. Another instruction from the Bible, "Love ye your enemies ..." (Lk 6:35), is also hard to imagine, especially when trying to love vicious lions and deadly viruses.

Enemies, bad neighbors, or unloving spouses, it is hard to love any of them in the biblical sense, but whoever can accomplish it is better off. Love definitely causes different juices to flow in our bodies than hate. I would not be at all surprised to find out how many sicknesses can be traced to feelings of hate; conversely, I would not be at all surprised to find out how many sicknesses were healed by feelings of love. Those who find they are unable to love should, at least, try to neutralize their feelings of hate.

The topic of love literally provides an author limitless material for a book, as my readers will soon realize, since many of our experiences are founded in love/hate relationships. Yet, since the concept of love is manifold, the material available for a book is also manifold.

While writing such a book, the question arises: what is the greatest and purest form of love known to us? I believe it is the love that Jesus Christ spoke of and demonstrated to us on the cross at Golgotha; also, it could be the love that God decided to bestow on us, by allowing His beloved Son to suffer and die for us. But we will probably seldom be able to give such love to our enemies, especially to the vicious or deadly kind of enemies.

Again, I have used and, give credit to, the Wikipedia, to assure the accuracy of most historical inclusions. For the front cover, I chose a sculpture of a naked woman, to depict the exposed love of human beings, and to emphasize that we leave as naked as we came.*

Arthur O.R. Thormann July 2016

^{*} See Ecclesiastes 5:15

Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Lies That Changed History | 7 |
| Prejudicial Movies | |
| Some of Life's Sadnesses | |
| Dubious Leadership | |
| Tsipras the Oddball | |
| Destructive Forces of Greed | 51 |
| Killing the Leader | |
| State versus Religion | 67 |
| The Group of Twenty-Two | 75 |
| The Meaning of Life | |
| Suicide as a Final Solution | |
| Love Thy Enemy | |
| About the Author | 103 |

Introduction

Love and hate are opposite concepts. However, this does not mean that if one does not love something or someone one must hate it, him, or her. I talked one day with a friend of mine about people's favorite meats for Christmas. He said, "I love turkey." I said, "I love goose." The fact is, I don't *love* turkey, but I don't *hate* it either. If I'm invited for Christmas dinner and the hostess serves turkey, I will eat it.

There is a big area between love and hate – various degrees, as it were. One can almost love something or almost hate it, or neither love nor hate it. Very seldom do we just love or hate something or someone. One such example involves God and Satan. One can love God and hate Satan, there is seldom an in-between. The examples chosen for this book must be judged accordingly.

Take US President Barack Obama and Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu: Netanyahu, I think, made a political mistake accepting an invitation from John Boehner, the 2015 Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, to speak to Congress without notifying the White House. This was a slap in Critical Reflections ~

the face to Obama. Netanyahu aimed to stop Obama's negotiations with Iran regarding a nuclear agreement. After Netanyahu made his speech to Congress, assuring Congress that he had the highest respect for Obama, Obama said, in an interview with the media, that his negotiations cannot be criticized until finished, and, at that time, he will convince the American people of its wisdom. As it happened, Netanyahu did not accomplish to stop negotiations; he only accomplished making an enemy of Obama. On the other hand, Obama proved, by his response in the media interview, that he is the more astute politician.

Where does love and hate enter in this incident between Obama and Netanyahu? It must be obvious that neither of them loves the other, but do they hate each other?

Of course, Israel is closer to Iran than the US and has more to fear if Iran should obtain nuclear weapons. On the other hand, the US is not the only nation negotiating a nuclear agreement with Iran – the other nations are France, the United Kingdom, Russia, China, and Germany. Even if the US pulled out of the negotiations, the other nations could still reach an agreement without the US. However, perhaps Israel believes that an agreement without the US would be meaningless.

To add insult to injury, a few days after

 \approx

Netanyahu's speech to Congress, 47 Republican senators, led by Tom Cotton, wrote a letter to Iran's leaders, undercutting President Barack Obama's efforts to negotiate a nuclear agreement with those same leaders, warning them that any agreement reached with Iran by US President Barack Obama would have to have the support of Congress in the US, without which such an agreement would solely exist between President Obama and Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei. Peter Spiro, a constitutional law professor at Temple University, argued that the Republican letter is a case that "fits pretty neatly with the elements of a Logan Act violation." But he also agreed with Steve Vladeck, a constitutional law professor at American University, that there is no chance these senators would ever face prosecution.

The White House insists that an agreement with Iran does not require the approval of legislators. President Obama accused the 47 senators of interfering in his negotiations and making an "unusual coalition" with Iran's hard-line religious leaders. US Secretary of State, John Kerry, slammed the letter in a Senate hearing; he said his reaction to it had been "utter disbelief." Vice President Joe Biden also took strong exception to the letter. Even Iranian Supreme Leader Ayatollah Khamenei joined top US officials in blasting the letter, but he also lashed out at the world

Want to keep reading? You can buy this book at **PageMasterPublishing.ca/Shop**

To find more books by Canadian authors or inquire about publishing your own book, contact PageMaster at:

PageMaster Publication Services Inc.

11340 - 120 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, T5G 0W5 books@pagemaster.ca 780-425-9303